an

OFE 4011

TR	CANSMITTAL FORM all correspondence after initial fits ages in This Submission	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number	collection of In 10/730,63 December Philip E. E 3736 Jeffrey Ge	r 8, 2003 Eggers erben Hoekstra
Amendme Ai Ai Extension Express A Information Certified O Documen Reply to I Incomplet	fter Final ffidavits/declaration(s) of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority t(s) Missing Parts/	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocal Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CRemarks The Director is hereby authorized to	e Address CD charge any	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Response to Species Election additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) or .13-4830 under name of Mueller and Smith,
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Name Mueller and Smith, LPA				
Signature Dine E. Burhe				
Printed name	Diane E. Burke			
Date	May 19, 2006		Reg. No.	45,725
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature				
Typed or printed name Keeney Date May 19, 2006				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Appln. No. 10/730,633

IAP Response dated May 19, 2006

Reply to Office Action of April 25, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Philip E. Eggers, et al.

Serial No.

10/730,633

Filed:

December 8, 2003

For:

Electrical Apparatus and System with Improved Tissue

Capture Component

TC/AU

3736

Examiner

Jeffrey Gerben Hoekstra

Attorney Docket No.

NET 2-100

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO SPECIES ELECTION

Sir:

This is a response to the April 24, 2006 Office Action containing a species election.

According to the Examiner, the application claims three species that are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and divergent means for deploying and controlling a tissue volume removal system. In particular, the Examiner has identified Species A identified as "electrical cutting apparatus having 'plural leaf assembly deployable via drive assembly"; Species B identified as "electrosurgical cutting apparatus having a 'cage assembly deployable via drive assembly including cable stop means'"; and Species C identified as "electrosurgical cutting apparatus having a 'basket assembly deployable via motor drive including cable stop means and drive stop means'". The Examiner finds no claim to be generic.

Applicants initially note that the species-identifying descriptions set apart by quotation marks in the Office action actually are not quotes as the cited language does not appear in the application. (Office Action Page 1, Paragraph 1). Specifically, the application does not use the phrases 1) plural leaf assembly deployable via drive assembly; 2) cage assembly deployable via drive assembly including cable stop means or 3) basket assembly deployable via motor drive including cable stop means and drive stop means. Applicants assume that the quoted language is intended to paraphrase features recited in independent claims 1, 13 and 22. Applicants also would note for purposes of clarity that although the Examiner cites "cable stop means" and "drive stop means", none of the claims of the present application are recited in means plus

Appln. No. 10/730,633 Response dated May 19, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 25, 2006

function form as defined by 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 6. In fact, the term "means" does <u>not</u> appear anywhere in the application.

Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Species A. Claims 1-29 read on the elected species because each of these claims includes a "leaf assembly comprising a plurality of elongate thin leafs" and a "drive assembly" as recited in claim 1 and Species A.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is generic to all three species. The three identified species include a given capture component configuration (e.g., plural leaf, basket or cage) and a particular deployment apparatus (e.g., drive assembly, drive assembly including a cable stop, or motor drive including a cable stop and a drive stop). Claim 1 is generic to all three embodiments because it is broad enough to cover all three capture component configurations and all three drive assemblies. Specifically, claim 1 recites "a tissue capture component... having a leaf assembly comprising a plurality of elongate thin leafs..., said leaf assembly being moveable to deploy outwardly...to define an electrosurgical cutting arc of initially expanding extent and subsequent pursively contracting extent". Claim 1 also recites, "a drive assembly engageable with said leaf assembly base portion and said pursing cable assembly and actuable to move said leaf assembly to deploy outwardly from said support member while effecting said deployment of said pursing cable assembly."

Species A, B, and C all include a plurality of elongate thin leafs that deploy outwardly and then contract to a given extent. Species B and C are embodiments in which the leafs have been contracted to greater degree to define a basket or cage, as shown in Fig. 6. The terms "basket" and "cage" are used interchangeably in the application. See, for example, page 16, lines 20-22 where profile 268 is referred to as "cage-like." Page 18, lines 17-18 states that, "The locus of travel of the leafs defining a capture in cage is represented somewhat symbolically in phantom at 268." At page 17, lines 24-26, reference is made to "capture basket profile 268". See also page 4, lines 23-28, which states that "Forward movement of the attached cable assembly will be halted and a pursing action will ensue at the electrosurgical cutting leading edge wherein the tip regions of the cables are drawn inwardly with mutually inwardly directed angles of attack until the leaf tip portions converge at a capture position defining a capture basket configuration or tissue recovery cage substantially encapsulating the entire target tissue volume." Claim 1 covers all three embodiments because it requires only that the leaf assembly be capable of being deployed outwardly an initially expanding extent and a subsequent pursively contracting extent.

Claim 1 also is broad enough to read on any of the drive assemblies for deploying and contracting the leaf assemblies of Species A, B, and C. As noted above, claim 1 recites "a drive

' Appln. No. 10/730,633

Response dated May 19, 2006

Reply to Office Action of April 25, 2006

assembly engageable with said leaf assembly base portion and said pursing cable assembly and actuable to move said leaf assembly to deploy outwardly from said support member while effecting said deployment of said pursing cable assembly." Species B simply adds a cable stop to the drive assembly. None of the language of claim 1 specifically excludes a cable stop, therefore, the claim is broad enough to cover Species B. Species C includes a motor drive including a cable stop and drive member stop. Requiring only a "drive assembly", the language of claim 1 is broad enough to include a drive assembly including a motor. Additionally, claim 1 does not exclude either a cable stop or a drive member stop component. As such claim 1 is broad enough to cover the narrower embodiment of Species C which includes these features.

In view of the above, applicants respectfully request that claim 1 be considered generic to Species A, B, and C.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 19, 2006

Diane E. Burke Reg. No. 45,725

MUELLER AND SMITH, L.P.A. Mueller-Smith Building 7700 Rivers Edge Drive Columbus, Ohio 43235-1355

Tel.: 614-436-0600 Fax: 614-436-0057

email: dburke@muellersmith.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on May, 19, 2006 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jane Keeney

Page 3 of 3